Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/748,768	COMMEVILLE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	James M. Hewitt	3679	
All Participants:	Status of Application:		
(1) <u>James M. Hewitt</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Troy Cole</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 10 November 2005	Time:		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)		·
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed: 1, 7, 8, 15, 18, 20, 21, 22 Prior art documents discussed:		·	
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Applicant's representative agreed to amend the claims as reflected in the accompanying Examiner's Amendment in order to distinguish the claims from the prior art. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate	
/	•		